

JOINT
PUBLIC NOTICE

CHARLESTON DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
69A Hagood Avenue
Charleston, South Carolina 29403-5107
and
THE S.C. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
Office of Environmental Quality Control
Water Quality Certification and Wetlands Programs Section
2600 Bull Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

REGULATORY DIVISION
Refer to: P/N #2004-1G-177-C

2 JULY 2004

Pursuant to Sections 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344), and the South Carolina Coastal Zone Management Act (48-39-10 et seq.) an application has been submitted to the Department of the Army and the S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control by

707 LAND PARTNERS, LLC
ROBERT S. GUYTON
C/O REID HILLIARD
THE EARTHWORKS GROUP
POST OFFICE BOX 201
MURRELLS INLET, SOUTH CAROLINA 29576

for a permit to place fill material in wetlands and waters of the U. S. near

COLLINS CREEK

at a location, a 47.21 acre tract of land off of Highway 707, approximately 0.5 miles before the intersection of Highway 707 and Murrells Inlet Road, in Horry County, South Carolina.
(Latitude 33.58948°- Longitude 79.06244°)

In order to give all interested parties an opportunity to express their views

NOTICE

is hereby given that written statements regarding the proposed work will be received by both of the above mentioned offices until

12 O'CLOCK NOON, MONDAY, AUGUST 2, 2004

from those interested in the activity and whose interests may be affected by the proposed work.

The proposed work consists of placing approximately 3, 039 cubic yards of fill material in approximately 0.89 acres of jurisdictional waters of the U. S. for access and development of the site. As mitigation for the proposed impacts, the applicant proposes to preserve and buffer the remaining wetlands onsite as shown on the attached plans, and will purchase the remaining required credits from the Historic Ricefields Association Mitigation Program. The purpose of the proposed work is for access and residential development of the site.

NOTE: Plans depicting the work described in this notice are available and will be provided, upon receipt of a written request, to anyone that is interested in obtaining a copy of the plans for the specific project. The request

2 JULY 2004

must identify the project of interest by public notice number and a self-addressed stamped envelope must also be provided for mailing the drawings to you. Your request for drawings should be addressed to the

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
ATTN: REGULATORY DIVISION
69A Hagood Avenue
Charleston, South Carolina 29403-5107.**

The District Engineer has concluded that the discharges associated with this project, both direct and indirect, should be reviewed by the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control in accordance with provisions of Section 401 of the Clean Water Act. As such, this notice constitutes a request, on behalf of the applicant, for certification that this project will comply with applicable effluent limitations and water quality standards. The work shown on this application must also be certified as consistent with applicable provisions of the South Carolina Coastal Zone Management Act (15 CFR 930). The District Engineer will not process this application to a conclusion until such certifications are received. The applicant is hereby advised that supplemental information may be required by the State to facilitate the review. Persons wishing to comment or object to State certification must submit all comments in writing to the S.C. Department of Health and Environmental Control at the above address within thirty (30) days of the date of this notice.

This notice initiates the Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) consultation requirements of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act. Implementation of the proposed project would impact 0.89 acres of freshwater substrates inland of estuarine substrates and emergent wetlands utilized by various life stages of species comprising the red drum, shrimp, and snapper-grouper management complexes. Our initial determination is that the proposed action would not have a substantial individual or cumulative adverse impact on EFH or fisheries managed by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Our final determination relative to project impacts and the need for mitigation measures is subject to review by and coordination with the NMFS.

The District Engineer has consulted the most recently available information and has determined that the project will have no effect on any Federally endangered, threatened, or proposed species and will not result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated or proposed critical habitat. This public notice serves as a request to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service for any additional information they may have on whether any listed or proposed endangered or threatened species or designated or proposed critical habitat may be present in the area which would be affected by the activity, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (as amended).

The District Engineer has consulted the latest published version of the National Register of Historic Places for the presence or absence of registered properties, or properties listed as being eligible for inclusion therein, and this worksite is not included as a registered property or property listed as being eligible for inclusion in the Register. Consultation of the National Register constitutes the extent of cultural resource investigations by the District Engineer, and he is otherwise unaware of the presence of such resources. Presently unknown archaeological, scientific, prehistorical, or historical data may be lost or destroyed by the work to be accomplished under the requested permit.

Any person may request, in writing, within the comment period specified in this notice, that a public hearing be held to consider this application. Requests for a public hearing shall state, with particularity, the reasons for holding a public hearing.

The decision whether to issue a permit will be based on an evaluation of the probable impact including cumulative impacts of the activity on the public interest and will include application of the guidelines promulgated by the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), under authority of Section 404(b) of the Clean

REGULATORY DIVISION

2 JULY 2004


Refer to: P/N #2004-1G-177-C

Water Act and, as appropriate, the criteria established under authority of Section 102 of the Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, as amended. That decision will reflect the national concern for both protection and utilization of important resources. The benefit which reasonably may be expected to accrue from the project must be balanced against its reasonably foreseeable detriments. All factors which may be relevant to the project will be considered including the cumulative effects thereof; among those are conservation, economics, aesthetics, general environmental concerns, wetlands, historic properties, fish and wildlife values, flood hazards, flood plain values, land use, navigation, shoreline erosion and accretion, recreation, water supply and conservation, water quality, energy needs, safety, food and fiber production and, in general, the needs and welfare of the people. A permit will be granted unless the District Engineer determines that it would be contrary to the public interest. In cases of conflicting property rights, the Corps of Engineers cannot undertake to adjudicate rival claims.

The Corps of Engineers is soliciting comments from the public; Federal, state, and local agencies and officials; Indian Tribes; and other interested parties in order to consider and evaluate the impacts of this activity. Any comments received will be considered by the Corps of Engineers to determine whether to issue, modify, condition or deny a permit for this project. To make this decision, comments are used to assess impacts on endangered species, historic properties, water quality, general environmental effects, and the other public interest factors listed above. Comments are used in the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and/or an Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act. Comments are also used to determine the need for a public hearing and to determine the overall public interest of the activity.

If there are any questions concerning this public notice, please contact me at 843-329-8044 or toll free at 1-866-329-8187.

Mary Hope Glenn
Project Manager
Regulatory Division
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers



Murrells Inlet, SC
Brookgreen Quad

0 1,000 2,000 Ft

#2004-1G-177


NOTES:

TOTAL WETLANDS = 199,986 SF / 4.58 AC
 TOTAL DITCHES = 6,872 SF / 0.16 AC


WETLAND IMPACTS:

DITCH FILL AND/OR PIPE = 6,872 SF / 0.16 AC
 JURISDICTIONAL WETLANDS = 20,930 SF / 0.48 AC
 WETLAND CROSSINGS = 11,047 SF / 0.25 AC

TOTAL PROPOSED IMPACTS = 38,849 SF / 0.89 AC
 UPLAND BUFFER = 2.47 AC
 AVERAGE WIDTH = 40.7'






Applicant: 707 LAND PARTNERS, LLC	Revisions		Sheet Title: LAND PLAN	
	Date	Initials		
Project Title: OAK HAMPTON	6/15/04	SKM		
	6/21/04	SKM		
Project Location: HIGHWAY 707 MURRELLS INLET, SC			Date: MAY 10, 2004	Scale: 1" = 350'
			Application #	Sheet 2 of 9
		2004-16-177		




Applicant: 707 LAND PARTNERS, LLC	Revisions		Sheet Title: SHEET INDEX	
	Date	Initials		
	6/15/04	SKM		
Project Title: OAK HAMPTON	6/21/04	SKM	Date: MAY 10, 2004	Scale: 1" = 350'
			Application #	Sheet 3 of 9
Project Location: HIGHWAY 707 MURRELLS INLET, SC		2004-1G-177		

LEGEND

-  WETLAND IMPACTS
-  UPLAND BUFFER



Applicant: 707 LAND PARTNERS, LLC	Revisions		WETLAND IMPACTS	
	Date	Initials		
Project Title: OAK HAMPTON	6/15/04	SKM	Date: MAY 10, 2004	Scale: 1" = 100'
	6/21/04	SKM		
Project Location: HIGHWAY 707 MURRELLS INLET, SC			Application # 2004-10-177	Sheet 4 of 9



LEGEND

- WETLAND IMPACTS
- UPLAND BUFFER


Applicant: 707 LAND PARTNERS, LLC		Sheet Title: WETLAND IMPACTS	
Project Title: OAK HAMPTON		Date: MAY 10, 2004	Scale: 1" = 100'
Project Location: HIGHWAY 707 MURRELLS INLET, SC		Application # 2004-16-177	Sheet 5 of 9
Revisions			
Date	Initials		
6/15/04	SKM		
6/21/04	SKM		

LEGEND

-  WETLAND IMPACTS
-  UPLAND BUFFER



Applicant: 707 LAND PARTNERS, LLC		Revisions		Sheet Title: WETLAND IMPACTS	
Project Title: OAK HAMPTON	Date	Initials	Date: MAY 10, 2004	Scale: 1" = 100'	Sheet 6 of 9
	6/15/04	SKM			
	6/21/04	SKM			
Project Location: HIGHWAY 707 MURRELLS INLET, SC		Application #			
		2004-1G-177			




TPC BLVD

 WETLAND IMPACTS
 UPLAND BUFFER

LEGEND


Applicant: 707 LAND PARTNERS, LLC	Revisions		Sheet Title: WETLAND IMPACTS	
	Date	Initials	Date:	Scale: 1" = 100'
Project Title: OAK HAMPTON	6/15/04	SKM	MAY 10, 2004	Sheet 7 of 9
	6/21/04	SKM		
Project Location: HIGHWAY 707 MURRELLS INLET, SC			Application #	

2004-19-177




TPC BLVD


Applicant: 707 LAND PARTNERS, LLC Project Title: OAK HAMPTON Project Location: HIGHWAY 707 MURRELLS INLET, SC	Revisions		Sheet Title: WETLAND IMPACTS	
	Date	Initials	Date: MAY 10, 2004	
	6/15/04	SKM	Scale: 1" = 100'	
	6/21/04	SKM	Application # 2004-16-177	
			Sheet 8 of 9	




W-1 WETLAND PROFILE



W-2 WETLAND PROFILE



W-3 WETLAND PROFILE



W-4 WETLAND PROFILE

LEGEND

WETLAND LINE

WETLAND FILL

HORIZ. SCALE = 1" = 100'
VERT. SCALE = 1" = 10'

Applicant: 707 LAND PARTNERS, LLC	Revisions		Sheet Title: WETLAND PROFILES	
	Date	Initials		
Project Title: OAK HAMPTON	6/15/04	SKM		
Project Location: HIGHWAY 707 MURRELLS INLET, SC			Date: MAY 10, 2004	Scale: 1" = AS SHOWN
			Application # 2004-18-177	Sheet 9 of 9

14. Tables and Worksheets

14.1. Adverse Impacts Table

ADVERSE IMPACT FACTORS FOR WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS OF THE U.S. EXCLUDING STREAMS

FACTORS		OPTIONS					
Lost Type		Type C 0.2		Type B 2.0		Type A 3.0	
Priority Category		Tertiary 0.5		Secondary 1.5		Primary 2.0	
Existing Condition		Very Impaired 0.1	Impaired 1.0	Slightly Impaired 2.0		Fully Functional 2.5	
Duration		Seasonal 0.1	0 to 1 0.2	1 to 3 0.5	3 to 5 1.0	5 to 10 1.5	Over 10 2.0
Dominant Impact	Shade 0.2	Clear 1.0	Dredge 1.5	Drain 2.0	Impound 2.5	Fill 3.0	
Cumulative Impact		0.05 x ∑ AA _i					

The following definition is reproduced here for ready reference.

Cumulative impact is an evaluation of the cumulative adverse impacts to aquatic sites for the overall project. This factor is proportional to the acres of impact. The formula used to calculate this value is $0.05 \times \sum AA_i$ where $\sum AA_i$ stands for the sum of the acres of adverse impacts to aquatic areas for the overall project. When computing this value, round to the nearest tenth decimal place using even number rounding. Thus 0.01 and 0.050 are rounded down to give a value of zero while 0.051 and 0.09 are rounded up to give 0.1 as the value for the cumulative impact factor.

Note: The cumulative impact factor for the overall project must be used in each area column on the Required Mitigation Credits Worksheet below.

2004-16-177

Required Mitigation Credits Sample Worksheet

Factor	Jurisdictional	Crossings	Ditch	Area 4	Area 5	Area 6
Lost Type	0.2	2.0	0.2			
Priority Category	0.5	0.5	0.5			
Existing Condition	0.1	1.0	0.1			
Duration	2.0	2.0	2.0			
Dominant Impact	3.0	3.0	3.0			
Cumulative Impact	0	0	0			
Sum of r Factors	$R_1 = 5.8$	$R_2 = 8.5$	$R_3 = 5.8$	$R_4 =$	$R_5 =$	$R_6 =$
Impacted Area	$AA_1 = 0.48$	$AA_2 = 0.25$	$AA_3 = 0.16$	$AA_4 =$	$AA_5 =$	$AA_6 =$
$R \times AA =$	2.78	2.13	0.93			

Total Required Credits = $\sum (R \times AA) =$

5.84

Oak Hampton

2004-1G-177

14.2. Restoration and Enhancement Table

RESTORATION AND ENHANCEMENT MITIGATION FACTORS FOR WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS OF THE U.S. EXCLUDING STREAMS

Factors	Options				
Net Improvement	Minimal Enhancement -----to----- Excellent Restoration				
	0.1				4.0
Control	N. A. 0	Covenant Private 0.1	Covenant POA 0.2	Conservation Easement 0.4	Transfer Fee Title Conservancy 0.6
Temporal Lag	N.A.* 0	Over 20 - 0.3	10 to 20 - 0.2	5 to 10 - 0.1	0 to 5 0
Credit Schedule	Schedule 5* 0	Schedule 4 0.1	Schedule 3 0.2	Schedule 2 0.3	Schedule 1 0.4
Kind	Category 5 -0.1	Category 4 0	Category 3 0.2	Category 2 0.3	Category 1 0.4
Location	Zone 5 -0.1	Zone 4 0	Zone 3 0.2	Zone 2 0.3	Zone 1 0.4

N. A. = Not Applicable

*Use this option to calculate credits for enhancement by buffering

Proposed Restoration or Enhancement Mitigation Sample Worksheet

Factor	Upland Buffer	Area 2	Area 3	Area 4	Area 5
Net Improvement	0.3				
Control	0.2				
Temporal Lag	0				
Credit Schedule	0				
Kind	0.4				
Location	0.4				
Sum of m Factors	$M_1 = 1.3$	$M_2 =$	$M_3 =$	$M_4 =$	$M_5 =$
Mitigation Area	$A_1 = 2.47$	$A_2 =$	$A_3 =$	$A_4 =$	$A_5 =$
$M \times A =$	3.2				

Total Restoration/Enhancement Credits = $\sum (M \times A) =$

3.2

2004-1G-177

14.2. Preservation Table

PRESERVATION MITIGATION FACTORS FOR WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS OF THE U.S. EXCLUDING STREAMS

Factors	Options				
Priority Category	Tertiary 0.1	Secondary 0.2		Primary 0.4	
Existing Condition	Impaired - 0.1	Slightly Impaired 0		Fully Functional 0.1	
Degree of Threat	Low - 0.1	Moderate 0.1		High 0.2	
Control	Covenant Private 0	Covenant POA 0.1	Conservation Easement 0.4	Transfer Fee Title Conservancy 0.6	
Kind	Category 5 -0.1	Category 4 0	Category 3 0.1	Category 2 0.2	Category 1 0.3
Location	Zone 5 -0.1	Zone 4 0	Zone 3 0.1	Zone 2 0.2	Zone 1 0.3

Note: Preservation credit should generally be limited to those areas that qualify as Fully Functional or Slightly Impaired. Impaired sites should be candidates for enhancement or restoration credit, not preservation credit. In special circumstances when Impaired sites are allowed preservation credit (e.g. within the scope of some OCRM wetland master planned projects), a negative factor will be used to calculate credits as per the matrix table.

Proposed Preservation Mitigation Sample Worksheet

Factor	Area 1	Area 2	Area 3	Area 4	Area 5
Priority Category	0.1				
Existing Condition	0				
Degree of Threat	0.1				
Control	0.1				
Kind	0.3				
Location	0.3				
Sum of m Factors	$M_1 = 0.9$	$M_2 =$	$M_3 =$	$M_4 =$	$M_5 =$
Mitigation Area	$A_1 = 2.27$	$A_2 =$	$A_3 =$	$A_4 =$	$A_5 =$
$M \times A =$	2.04				

Total Preservation Credits = $\sum (M \times A) =$ 2.04

2004-1G-177

Mitigation for Wetlands

14.6 Mitigation Summary Worksheet.

WETLANDS AND OTHER WATERS OF THE U.S. EXCLUDING STREAMS Mitigation Summary Worksheet For Permit Application # Oak Hampton PN 2004-1G-177

I. Required Mitigation

A. Total Required Mitigation Credits =

5.84

II. Non-Banking Mitigation Credit Summary

B. Creation

C. Restoration and/or Enhancement (Non-Buffer Enhancement)

D. Restoration and/or Enhancement (Buffer Enhancement)

E. Total No Net Loss Non-Bank Mitigation = B + C + D

F. Preservation

G. Total Proposed Non-Bank Mitigation = E + F

Credits

Acres

3.2	2.47
3.2	2.47
2.04	2.27
5.24	4.74

III. Banking Mitigation Credit Summary

H. Creation

I. Restoration and/or Enhancement (Non-Buffer Enhancement)

J. Restoration and/or Enhancement (Buffer Enhancement)

K. Total No Net Loss Non-Bank Mitigation = H + I + J

L. Preservation

M. Total Proposed Non-Bank Mitigation = K + L

Credits

Acres

1.46 + .6 = 2.06	

IV. Grand Totals

N. Total Preservation Mitigation = F + L

O. Total Non-Preservation Mitigation = E + K

P. Total Creation = B + H

Q. Total Restoration and/or Enhancement
(Non-Buffer Enhancement) = C + I

R. Total Proposed Mitigation = G + M

Credits

Acres

2.04	2.27
3.2	2.47
1.46	
5.24	4.74

2004-1G-177

Mitigation For Wetlands

The Total Mitigation Credits (Row R) should be equal to or greater than the total Required Mitigation Credits (Row A) for the proposed mitigation to be acceptable. The other requirements given in the SOP must also be satisfied, e.g., in the credits column, Row O must equal at least 50% of Row A and the addition of Row P and Row Q must equal at least 25% of Row A. If the answer to any of the questions below is no, then the proposed mix and/or quantity of mitigation is not in compliance with the policy and the plan should be revised or rejected, unless a variance is approved.

	Yes	No
$PMC \geq RMC$ or in words Are the credits in Row R greater than or equal to Row A?	X	///
$PMC_{Non-Preservation} \geq 1/2 RMC$ or in words Are the credits in Row O greater than or equal to 50% of Row A?	X	
$PMC_{Creation + Restoration/Enhancement (Non-Buffer Enhancement)} \geq 1/4 RMC$ or in words Are the credits in Row P plus the credits in Row Q greater than or equal to 25% of Row A?	X	///

2004-16-177